California State

Board of Equalization

Legislative Bill Analysis

Legislative and Research Division

Assembly Bill 681 (Ting)

Date: 04/13/15 Program: Property Sponsor: Author

Government Code Sections 15643 and 15645

Effective: January 1, 2016

Michele Pielsticker (Chief) 916.322.2376 Rose Marie Kinnee (Analyst) 916.445.6777

Summary: Modifies the county assessment practices survey cycle, reduces the time within which the Board of Equalization (BOE) must issue a survey report from two years to one year, and allows former assessors to provide feedback on the survey of their former office.

Purpose: To accelerate the release of a survey report and allow former assessors whose administration is being reviewed an opportunity to provide input.

Fiscal Impact Summary: No impact.

Existing Law: The law requires the BOE to perform duties related to state oversight of local property tax administration. Specifically, the law requires the BOE to survey each county and city and county to determine the adequacy of the assessor's procedures and practices in property valuation.¹

Survey Cycle. The law requires the BOE to survey each county's assessment procedures and practices as rapidly as feasible and repeat or supplement each survey at least once every five years. In some cases, surveys include a statistical sample of the property assessments in the county under review. In an assessment sampling, BOE appraisers independently value the selected properties to compare the BOE's estimate of value to the county's value.

Written Reports. After the survey is complete, the law requires the BOE to prepare a written survey report setting forth its findings and recommendations. Before preparing its written survey report, the law requires the BOE to meet with the assessor to discuss and confer on those matters that may be included in the written survey report. Existing law requires the BOE to issue the final survey report within two years after the date the BOE begins the survey.³

Former Assessor. Existing law does not require the BOE to meet with the former assessor if the survey reviews the former assessor's administration. In addition, the law does not provide a process for the former assessor to formally respond to the survey.

Proposed Law:

Written Report. This bill shortens the period of time permitted for BOE to issue the final survey report from two years to one year after the date the BOE began the survey.

Survey Cycle. This bill deletes language related to proceeding with the surveys as rapidly as feasible and instead requires the BOE to complete each survey within 12 months after the date the BOE began the survey.

To mitigate the impact of reducing the time period to publish final survey reports, this bill modifies the survey cycle to reduce the number of surveys the BOE is required to conduct as follows:

Continue to survey the ten counties with the largest value of locally-assessed property on the existing five-year cycle.4

This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the BOE's formal position.

Chapter 2, "Surveys of Local Assessment Practices" commencing with Government Code (GC) §15640.

² GC §<u>15643</u>.

³ GC § 15645.

⁴ The assessment rolls for these counties represent approximately 75 percent of California's total assessment roll.

- Continue to conduct the assessment sampling of each of the ten counties with the largest value of locally-assessed property on a five-year cycle.
- Annually, survey one county and conduct the assessment sampling of another county from the ten counties in the next tier of locally-assessed property values.⁵ The BOE and California Assessors' Association (CAA) would randomly select these counties from stratified five-year lists.
- Annually, survey three counties and conduct the assessment sampling of another two counties from the remaining 38 counties.⁶ The BOE and CAA would randomly select these counties from stratified five-year lists.

The provisions would sunset five years from the date of enactment and revert to the existing five-year schedule for all counties.

Former Assessor. The bill requires the BOE to notify and meet with the former assessor whose administration is being reviewed upon his or her request, prior to publishing its written survey report. This bill allows the former assessor to prepare a written response to the report that the BOE must publish as an addendum to the final survey report.

In General: Once every five years, BOE staff examines all 58 county assessor's offices, which is known as a "survey." BOE appraisers and auditor-appraisers conduct onsite field audits of each county to review the procedures and practices the assessor uses to value property and administer the property tax.

Surveys. In the survey, the BOE audits each assessor's compliance with the laws. The written report details staff's findings and recommends areas of improvement. In some cases, the law requires the BOE's survey to include a "sampling" of assessments in the county.

Sampling. BOE selects a statistically representative sample of property types, and BOE appraisers independently value the selected properties. The BOE's results are then compared to the assessor's results.

Background: Currently, the survey program is functioning within the timeframe mandated by statute and the BOE is publishing reports within two years. However, because of the extreme workload involved with conducting surveys of all 58 County Assessors' offices at least once in each five-year period, publication of final reports can take the two full years allowed in law. The time period to publish is impacted by:

- ➤ **Program staffing issues**. As with many State programs that are suffering the effects of baby boomers' retirement, the program has sustained a significant reduction in staff with the skill sets necessary to conduct surveys of the County Assessors' offices.⁷
- The workload of County Assessors. The pending publication of survey reports can be exacerbated by the workloads in County Assessors' offices whereby there are times throughout the assessment year that County Assessors cannot accommodate a survey team in their offices. Additionally, County Assessors may be unable to meet the deadlines established for their review of survey drafts and conferencing discussions with BOE staff if those deadlines occur when the county is in the process of meeting their annual assessment roll deadlines.

This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the BOE's formal position.

⁵ The assessment rolls for these counties represent approximately 15 percent of California's total assessment roll.

⁶ The assessment rolls for these counties represent approximately 10 percent of California's total assessment roll.

⁷ Over a recent five-year period, 100 percent of the survey program positions realized a turnover.

Commentary:

- 1. Without modifying the survey schedule, publishing written surveys within one year would not be possible. The bill shortens the time to complete the written survey report from two years to one year. This reduces the time by half. Under the current cycle, 22 surveys are in process at any given time. Under the proposed bill, 11 surveys or samples would be in process each year.
- 2. To complete the surveys in the allotted time using existing staff resources would require the BOE to curtail the audit's scope and depth. Thus, additional staff is needed to meet the compressed schedule and maintain the audit's scope. To implement this bill, additional staffing would be necessary to ensure that the surveys do not suffer qualitatively. Property tax appraisal positions require extensive training to acquire the appraisal and legal knowledge necessary to conduct a meaningful survey of a County Assessor's assessment program. Historically, the BOE staffed the survey teams with journey-level employees from a candidate pool consisting of county assessor staff from the surrounding Sacramento areas. However, BOE's ability to hire journey level staff has been severely diminished due to the inability to offer a state compensation package that is comparable to the counties. Thus, even if funds are provided for additional staff, hiring the journey level staff necessary to audit counties presents a challenge.
- 3. The BOE and the CAA have a procedure dedicated to improving the survey process. An ad hoc committee collaboratively works to improve and refine the program. In the last year, BOE staff and assessors streamlined the survey report, created a master document detailing proper assessment practices, and developed a questionnaire for the assessor to complete in advance of the audit that is field-check verified.
- 4. **Impact on assessor office operations.** Presently, the BOE attempts to minimize disruption by scheduling around the assessors' peak workload periods. The proposed one-year timeframe will reduce flexibility in scheduling. Smaller counties will be particularly impacted due to the smaller staff.
- 5. While a report may take 24 months to publish, assessors address issues prior to the final published report. Although a report may take 24 months to publish, its impact is felt well before publication. BOE staff and assessor interaction in the field and the exit interview is a key part of the survey process. For this reason many recommendations are adopted prior to the publication date.
- 6. **Initial transition period needed.** The BOE is working with the author to provide for an initial transition period to the proposed 12-month requirement depending on the survey start date. Currently, 22 survey reports are in various stages of production—from initial fieldwork, to drafting the report, managerial reviews, editing the report, conferencing the draft report with the County Assessor, and printing/publishing the final report. If the survey cycle is legislatively amended to reduce the number of county surveys required each year, the 12-month publication goal should be attainable. This goal, however, is predicated on adequate staffing levels and the ability to eliminate the current 22 survey report backlog.
- 7. **Technical amendments.** Two technical amendments are suggested. First, the counties should be fixed for purposes of the random selection within the five year cycle should any county shift from the three separate groupings. Second, revise and move deleted language related to administrative monies withheld from counties that have significant assessment problems that is still necessary for that purpose.
 - Government Code Section 15643(b)(1)(B). The eleventh to the twentieth, inclusive, largest counties shall be determined based upon the total value of locally assessed property located in the counties and cities and counties on the lien date that falls within the calendar year of 2015 and every fifth calendar year thereafter 2016 and each of the next four calendar years.

(b)(2)(B) For purposes of this paragraph, "qualified counties or cities and counties" means the twenty-first to the fifty-eighth, inclusive, largest counties and cities and counties. The twenty-first to the fifty-eighth, inclusive, largest counties shall be determined based upon the total value of locally assessed property located in the counties and cities and counties on the lien date that falls within the calendar year of 2015 and every fifth calendar year thereafter 2016 and each of the next four calendar years.

(b)(3) If the board finds that a county or city and county has "significant assessment problems," as provided in Section 75.60 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, a sample of assessments will be conducted in that county or city and county.

Administrative Costs: The BOE requires additional staff to complete the proposed surveys and samples within one year. These staffing costs are pending.

Revenue Impact: This bill does not impact property tax revenues.